

Scoping and Testing

CS 217

Overview of Today's Lecture



Scoping of variables

- Local or automatic variables
- Global or external variables
- Where variables are visible

Testing of programs

- Identifying boundary conditions
- Debugging the code and retesting

Global Variables



 Functions can use global variables defined outside and above them

Definition vs. Declaration



Definition

Where a variable is created and assigned storage

Declaration

Where the nature of a variable is stated, but no storage allocated

Global variables

- Defined once (e.g., "int stack[100]")
- Declared within functions needed (e.g., "extern int stack[]")
 - Only needed if the function appears before the variable is defined
 - Convention is to define global variables at the start of the file

Local Variables and Parameters



- Functions can define local variables
 - Created upon entry to the function
 - Destroyed upon departure and value not retained across calls
 - Exception: "static" storage class (see chapter 4 of K&R)
- Function <u>parameters</u> behave like initialized local variables
 - Values copied into "local variables"
 - C is pass by value (so must use pointers to do "pass by reference")

Local Variables & Parameters



 Function parameters and local definitions "hide" outer-level definitions

```
int x
                                               different x
f(int x, int a) {
    int b;
                                               same y
                                               different a
```

Local Variables & Parameters



• Cannot declare the same variable twice in one scope

Scope Example



```
int a, b;
main () {
    a = 1; b = 2;
                              Output
    f(a);
                                3 4
    print(a, b);
                                3 2
                                1 5
void f(int a) {
    a = 3;
        int b = 4;
        print(a, b);
    print(a, b);
    b = 5;
```

Scope: Another Example



interface.h

```
extern int A;
void f(int C);
```

module1.c

```
#include "interface.h"
int A;
int B;
void f(int C) {
  int D;
  if (...) {
     int E;
void g(...) {
   int H;
```

```
#include "interface.h"
int J;
void m(...) {
  int K;
void g(...) {
   int H;
```

Scope: A



```
interface.h
extern int A;
void f(int C);
```

module1.c

```
#include "interface.h"
int A;
int B;
void f(int C) {
  int D;
  if (...) {
     int E;
void g(...) {
  int H;
```

```
#include "interface.h"
int J;
void m(...) {
  int K;
void g(...) {
   int H;
```

Scope: B



interface.h

```
extern int A;
void f(int C);
```

module1.c

```
#include "interface.h"
int A;
int B;
void f(int C) {
  int D;
  if (...) {
     int E;
void g(...) {
  int H;
```

```
#include "interface.h"
int J;
void m(...) {
  int K;
void g(...) {
   int H;
```

Scope: C



interface.h

```
extern int A;
void f(int C);
```

module1.c

```
#include "interface.h"
int A;
int B;
void f(int C) {
  int D;
  if (...) {
     int E;
void g(...) {
   int H;
```

```
#include "interface.h"
int J;
void m(...) {
  int K;
void g(...) {
   int H;
```

Scope: D



interface.h

```
extern int A;
void f(int C);
```

module1.c

```
#include "interface.h"
int A;
int B;
void f(int C) {
 int D;
 if (...) {
    int E;
void g(...) {
   int H;
```

```
#include "interface.h"
int J;
void m(...) {
  int K;
void g(...) {
   int H;
```

Scope: E



interface.h

```
extern int A;
void f(int C);
```

module1.c

```
#include "interface.h"
int A;
int B;
void f(int C) {
  int D;
  if (...) {
     int E;
void g(...) {
   int H;
```

```
#include "interface.h"
int J;
void m(...) {
  int K;
void g(...) {
   int H;
```

Scope: Keeping it Simple



- Avoid duplicate variable names
 - Don't give a global and a local variable the same name
 - But, duplicating local variables across different functions is okay
 - E.g., array index of i in many functions
- Avoid narrow scopes
 - Avoid defining scope within just a portion of a function
 - Even though this reduces the storage demands somewhat
- Define global variables at the start of the file
 - Makes them visible to all functions in the file
 - Though, avoiding global variables whenever possible is useful

Scope and Programming Style



- Avoid using same names for different purposes
 - Use different naming conventions for globals and locals
 - Avoid changing function arguments
 - But, duplicating local variables across different functions is okay
 - E.g., array index of i in many functions
- Define global variables at the start of the file
 - Makes them visible to all functions in the file
- Use function parameters rather than global variables
 - Avoids misunderstood dependencies
 - Enables well-documented module interfaces
- Declare variables in smallest scope possible
 - Allows other programmers to find declarations more easily
 - Minimizes dependencies between different sections of code



Testing

Chapter 6 of "The Practice of Programming"

My Favorite CS Quotation



"On two occasions I have been asked [by members of Parliament!], `Pray, Mr. Babbage, if you put into the machine wrong figures, will the right answers come out?' I am not able rightly to apprehend the kind of confusion of ideas that could provoke such a question."

-- Charles Babbage

Testing, Profiling, & Instrumentation

- How do you know if your program is correct?
 - Will it ever crash?
 - Does it ever produce the wrong answer?
 - How: testing, testing, testing, ...
- How do you know what your program is doing?
 - How fast is your program?
 - Why is it slow for one input but not for another?
 - Does it have a memory leak?
 - How: timing, profiling, and instrumentation (later in the course)

Program Verification



- How do you know if your program is correct?
 - o Can you prove that it is correct?
 - Can you prove properties of the code?
 - e.g., It terminates



"Beware of bugs in the above code;
I have only proved it correct, not tried it." -- Donald Knuth

Program Testing



Convince yourself that your program probably works



How do you write a test program?

Test Programs



- Properties of a good test program
 - Tests boundary conditions
 - Exercise as much code as possible
 - Produce output that is known to be right/wrong

How do you achieve all three properties?

Program Testing



- Testing boundary conditions
 - Almost all bugs occur at boundary conditions
 - If program works for boundary cases, it probably works for others
- Exercising as much code as possible
 - For simple programs, can enumerate all paths through code
 - Otherwise, sample paths through code with random input
 - Measure test coverage
- Checking whether output is right/wrong?
 - Match output expected by test programmer (for simple cases)
 - Match output of another implementation
 - Verify conservation properties
 - Note: real programs often have fuzzy specifications

Test Boundary Conditions



Code to getline from stdin and put in character array

```
int i;
char s[MAXLINE];

for (i=0; (s[i]=getchar()) != '\n' && i < MAXLINE-1; i++)
   ;
s[--i] = '\0';</pre>
```

Boundary conditions

what happens?

- Input starts with \n (empty line)
- End of file before \n
- End of file immediately (empty file)
- Line exactly MAXLINE-1 characters long
- Line exactly MAXLINE characters long
- Line more than MAXLINE characters long

Test Boundary Condition



Rewrite the code

```
int i;
char s[MAXLINE];
for (i=0; i<MAXLINE-1; i++)
    if ((s[i] = getchar()) == '\n')
        break;
s[i] = '\0';</pre>
```

Another boundary condition: EOF

```
for (i=0; i<MAXLINE-1; i++)
    if ((s[i] = getchar()) == '\n' || s[i] == EOF)
        break;
s[i] = '\0';</pre>
```

- What are other boundary conditions?
 - Nearly full
 - Exactly full
 - Over full

This is wrong; why?

A Bit Better...



Rewrite yet again

```
for (i=0; ; i++) {
   int c = getchar();
   if (c==EOF || c=='\n' || i==MAXLINE-1) {
      s[i]='\0';
      break;
   }
   else s[i] = c;
}
```

There's still a problem...

```
Input:
```

Four score and seven years

Output:

FourØ
score anØ
sevenØ
yearsØ

Where's the 'd'?

Ambiguity in Specification



- If line is too long, what should happen?
 - Keep first MAXLINE characters, discard the rest?
 - Keep first MAXLINE-1 characters + '\0' char, discard the rest?
 - Keep first MAXLINE-1 characters + '\0' char, save the rest for the next call to the input function?
- Probably, the specification didn't even say what to do if MAXLINE is exceeded
 - Probably the person specifying it would prefer that unlimited-length lines be handled without any special cases at all
 - Moral: testing has uncovered a design problem, maybe even a specification problem!
- Define what to do
 - Truncate long lines?
 - Save the rest of the text to be read as the next line?

Moral of This Little Story:



- Complicated, messy boundary cases are often symptomatic of bad design or bad specification
- Clean up the specification if you can
- If you can't fix the specification, then fix the code

Test As You Write Code



- Use "assert" generously (the time you save will be your own)
- Check pre- and post-conditions for each function
 - Boundary conditions
- Check invariants
- Check error returns

Boundary Cases for "De-comment"



- Empty comments
- Test single line comment
- Test very long line
- Multiple line comment
- Test many lines
- Nested comment
- String literal or character literal in comment
- Comment in string literal or character literal
- Unterminated comment

• • • •

Test Automation



- Automation can provide better test coverage
- Test program
 - Client code to test modules
 - Scripts to test inputs and compare outputs
- Testing is an iterative process
 - Initial automated test program or scripts
 - Test simple parts first
 - Unit tests (i.e., individual modules) before system tests
 - Add tests as new cases created
- Regression test
 - Test all cases to compare the new version with the previous one
 - A bug fix often create new bugs in a large software system

Stress Tests



Motivations

- Use computer to generate inputs to test
- High-volume tests often find bugs

What to generate

- Very long inputs
- Random inputs (binary vs. ASCII)
- Fault injection

How much test

- Exercise all data paths
- Test all error conditions

Who Tests What



Implementers

- White-box testing
- Pros: An implementer knows all data paths
- Cons: influenced by how code is designed/written

Quality Assurance (QA) engineers

- Black-box testing
- Pros: No knowledge about the implementation
- Cons: Unlikely to test all data paths

Customers

- Field test
- Pros: Unexpected ways of using the software, "debug" specs
- Cons: Not enough cases; customers don't like "participating" in this process; malicious users exploit the bugs

Conclusions



Scoping

- Knowing which variables are accessible where
- C rules for determining scope vs. good programming practices

Testing

- Identifying boundary cases
- Stress testing the code
- Debugging the code, and the specification!

COS 217 news

- Information on lab TAs on the course Web site
 - Every night except Saturdays
 - http://webscript.princeton.edu/~wbutler/labs.htm
- First assignment is due this Sunday at 8:59pm