

Free Variables and Substitution for MinML

Robert Harper

extracted & edited by A. Appel, 2001

We may define the set of *free variables* of an expression e , $\text{FV}(e)$, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{FV}(x) &= \{x\} \\
 \text{FV}(n) &= \emptyset \\
 \text{FV}(\mathbf{true}) &= \emptyset \\
 \text{FV}(\mathbf{false}) &= \emptyset \\
 \text{FV}(o(e_1, \dots, e_n)) &= \text{FV}(e_1) \cup \dots \cup \text{FV}(e_n) \\
 \text{FV}(\mathbf{if } e \mathbf{ then } e_1 \mathbf{ else } e_2 \mathbf{ fi}) &= \text{FV}(e) \cup \text{FV}(e_1) \cup \text{FV}(e_2) \\
 \text{FV}(\mathbf{fun } f(x:\tau_1):\tau_2 \mathbf{ is } e \mathbf{ end}) &= \text{FV}(e) \setminus \{f, x\} \\
 \text{FV}(\mathbf{apply}(e_1, e_2)) &= \text{FV}(e_1) \cup \text{FV}(e_2)
 \end{aligned}$$

We say that the variable x is *free* in the expression e iff $x \in \text{FV}(e)$. An expression e is *closed* iff $\text{FV}(e) = \emptyset$; that is, a closed expression has no free variables.

Capture-avoiding substitution of an expression e for free occurrences of a variable x in another expression e' , written $[e/x]e'$, is (partially) defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 [e/x]x &= e \\
 [e/x]n &= n \\
 [e/x]\mathbf{true} &= \mathbf{true} \\
 [e/x]\mathbf{false} &= \mathbf{false} \\
 [e/x]o(e_1, \dots, e_n) &= o([e/x]e_1, \dots, [e/x]e_n) \\
 [e/x]\mathbf{if } e \mathbf{ then } e_1 \mathbf{ else } e_2 \mathbf{ fi} &= \mathbf{if } [e/x]e \mathbf{ then } [e/x]e_1 \mathbf{ else } [e/x]e_2 \mathbf{ fi} \\
 [e/x]\mathbf{fun } f(y:\tau_1):\tau_2 \mathbf{ is } e'' \mathbf{ end} &= \mathbf{fun } f(y:\tau_1):\tau_2 \mathbf{ is } e'' \mathbf{ end} \quad \text{if } x = f \text{ or } x = y \\
 [e/x]\mathbf{fun } f(y:\tau_1):\tau_2 \mathbf{ is } e'' \mathbf{ end} &= \mathbf{fun } f(y:\tau_1):\tau_2 \mathbf{ is } [e/x]e'' \mathbf{ end} \quad \text{if } \{f, y\} \cap (\text{FV}(e) \cup \{x\}) = \emptyset \\
 [e/x]\mathbf{apply}(e_1, e_2) &= \mathbf{apply}([e/x]e_1, [e/x]e_2)
 \end{aligned}$$

Simultaneous capture-avoiding substitution, written $[e_1, \dots, e_n/x_1, \dots, x_n]e$, is defined in an analogous manner.

Capture-avoiding substitution is *undefined* if the condition in the penultimate equation is not met! In this case free occurrences of f or y in e would be *captured* by the binder for f and y , thereby erroneously changing the meanings of the “pronouns”. This means, for example, that

$$[x/y]\mathbf{fun } f(x:\mathbf{int}):\mathbf{int} \mathbf{ is } x + y \mathbf{ end}$$

is *undefined*, rather than equal to

$$\mathbf{fun } f(x:\mathbf{int}):\mathbf{int} \mathbf{ is } x + x \mathbf{ end},$$

wherein capture of x has occurred.

The possibility of capture during substitution can always be avoided by *renaming of bound variables*.