## Extra Review Answers

1. 

a) 0

2
6
14
30
62
126
b) no difference
C) $\mathbf{1 7}$
2.
a)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R 1 \leftarrow R 1+R 2 \\
& R 2 \leftarrow R 1-R 2 \\
& R 1 \leftarrow R 1-R 2
\end{aligned}
$$

It exchanges the values stored in registers $1 \& 2$. (Try it with some sample numbers if you don't believe that.)
b)

```
R1 \leftarrow9110
R2 }\leftarrow921
R1 \leftarrowR2 - R1 (= 0101)
R3}\leftarrow212
R1 \leftarrowR1 + R3 (= 2222)
```

R1: 2222
c) $\mathbf{B}$ is the exception (all other instructions put the value 0 in R1 no matter what it contains prior to executing the instruction.)
3.
a) 22
b) 19
c) 1
d) The function strange returns $x$.
e) 20
4.
a) 1-1 1-1 1-1
b) 172135352171
c)

```
#include <stdio.h>
#define MAX }10
int A[MAX];
    void main()
    {
        int closest, i, n;
        float avg, sum;
        for (n = 0; n < MAX && scanf("%d", &A[n]) != EOF; n++)
        sum = 0;
        for (i = 0; i < n; i ++)
            sum += A[i];
        avg = sum/n;
        closest = 0;
        i = 1;
        while (i < n) {
            |* squaring elements in the test below eliminats the need
        to distinguish positive and negative differences */
(10)
(11)
            if ((A[i]-avg)*(A[i]-avg) < (A[closest]-avg)*(A[closest]-avg))
                closest = i;
(13)
}
```

d) The assignment statements at (2), (4), (5), (6), (7), (10), (11) each take $O(1)$ time. The forstatement, (3)-(4), takes $O(n)$ time. The if-statement, (9)-(10), takes $O(1)$ time and the while statement, (8)-(11), takes $O(n)$ time. The running time of the entire program is $O(n)$.
e)

```
int i, max = 0, count[51];
for (i = 0; i <= 50; i ++) count[i] = 0;
for (i = 0; i < N; i ++) count[a[i]]++;
for (i = 0; i <= 50; i ++)
            if (count[i] > max) max = count[i]);
for (i = 0; i <= 50; i ++)
            if (count[i] == max) printf("%d\n", i);
```

5. 

a) struct card \{ int rank; char suit; \};

Assume that rank=1 -> Ace, rank=11 -> J ack, rank=12 -> Queen, rank=13 -> King
b) A helper function 'suit_value' was written to simplify the 'less' function (Spade =s, Heart = h, Diamond =d, Club =c)

```
int suit value (char s)
{
        switch (s) {
                        case 's': return 3; break;
                case 'h': return 2; break;
                case 'd': return 1; break;
                case 'c': return 0; break;
                default: return - 1; break;
        }
        return - 1;
}
int less (struct card a, struct card b)
{
    || case 1: 2 different suits
    if (suit_value(a.suit) < suit_value(b.suit))
        return 1;
    if (suit_value(a.suit) > suit_value(b.suit))
                        return 0;
    || case 2: same suit
    if (a.rank == 1) || aces high
        return 1;
    if (b.rank == 1)
        return 0;
    if (a.rank < b.rank)/| all other ranks
        return 1;
    return 0;
}
```

c) Function 'less' defined in part b is used to simplify this function.

```
struct card highest (struct card c[], int n)
{
    int i = 1;
    struct card best = c[0];
    while (i < n)
    {
        if (less(best, card[i]))
                best = card[i];
        +;
    }
    return best;
}
```

d) Here's a solution using the elementary insertion sort algorithm. (Sedgewick chapter 6)

```
void sort (struct card c[], int n)
{
    int i, j
    struct card temp;
    for (i = 1; i < n; i ++)
    {
        for (j = i; j > 0; j -.)
            {
            if (less(a[j], a[j-1]))
                temp = a[j];
                = a[j-1];
                = t emp;
            }
        }
    }
}
```

6. 

a)

```
link t = mal|oc(sizeof *t);
t.>next = |ist.>next;
|ist->next = t;
t.>key = 999;
```

b)

```
|ink findZero (|ink x)
{
    if (x == NULL) return x;
    if (x->key == 0 return x;
        return findZero(x->next);
l
```

c)

```
Iink removeO (link x)
{
    if (x == NULL) return x;
    x->next = remove0(x->next);
    if (x->key == 0) return x->next;
    else return x;
}
```

d)

```
int add (link x)
{
    if (x == NULL) return 0;
    return (x->key) + add(x->next);
}
```

e)

I ink deleteEven (link x) \{
link t;
if (x ! = NULL)
\{
t = x->next;
if (t ! = NULL)
\{
$x$->next $=$ deleteEven ( $t->n e x t)$;
free (t)
\}
\}
return $x$;
\}
f)
int Iookup (int value, Iink Iist)
\{
if (list == NULL) return 0 ;
if (value > list->element) return lookup(value, list->next);
if (value == |ist->element) return 1;
return 0 ;
\}
g)

```
link insert ( int value, |ink |ist)
{
    Iink t;
    if (list == NULL) {
        t = malloc(sizeof *t);
        t.>key = value;
        t.>next = NULL;
        return t;
    }
    if (value > list->key)
        |ist->next = insert(value, |ist->next);
    else {
        t = malloc(sizeof *t);
        t.>key = value;
        t->next = |ist;
        return t;
    }
    return list;
}
```


## 7. See Sedgewick section 3.3

a)

```
int count (link |ist)
{
    int count = 0;
    |ink t = list;
    if (t == NULL) return count;
    do {
            count ++;
            t = t.>next;
        } while (t != list);
        return count;
}
```

b)

```
int count between (link x, link t)
{
        int count = 0;
            link b = x;
            if (x == t) return 0; || both pointing to same node
            while (b->next != t)
            {
            count ++;
            b = b->next;
        }
        return count;
}
```

c)
link end_of_t = t;
while (end_ō _t->next ! = t) end_of_t = end_of_t->next;
end_of_t->next $=x$->next;
$x->\bar{n} e x \bar{t}=t$;
d)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { I ink moving_node =t->next; } \\
& \text { t->next = moving node->next; } \\
& \text { moving_node->next }=x->\text { next; } \\
& x->n^{\prime}=x \bar{t}=\text { moving_node; }
\end{aligned}
$$

8. 

a)

```
int countLeaves (link x)
{
if (x == NULL) return 0;
if (x->l eft == NULL && x->right == NULL) return 1;
return count Leaves(x->left) + count Leaves(x->right);
}
```

b)

```
link del eteKeys (link x, int key)
        if (x == NULL) return NULL;
        if (x->left == NULL && x->right == NULL)
            if (x->key == key)
                                free(x);
                return NULL;
            }
            return x;
        }
        x->l eft = delet eKeys(x->| eft, key);
        x->right = deleteKeys(x->right, key);
        return x;
}
```

c)

```
int sum (link x)
{
    if (x == NULL)
            return 0;
        return ( x->key + sum(x->l eft) + sum(x->right);
}
```

9. 


a)
b) Preorder: 1, 2, 4, 8, 9, 3, 5, 10, 13, 14, 15, 6, 7, 11, 12
c) To change the preorder traversal to a postorder traversal, move the printf() statement so that it comes after the while loop instead of before it.
Postorder: 8, 9, 4, 2, 13, 15, 14, 10, 5, 6, 11, 12, 7, 3, 1
10.
a) 104
b) infix: $((a+b) * c) /(d-e)+f$ prefix: $+/^{*}+\mathrm{abc}-\mathrm{def}$
c) value: 12
postfix: $223+* 6$ * $5 /$
11. This function removes all occurrences of character $\mathbf{c}$ from the string $\mathbf{s}$.
12.

a)
b) Here's one correct answer: $(0 * 11 *(01) * 000) *(0 * 11 *(01) * 001)(0+1) *$

c)

e) Here's one correct answer: $1 * 01 *\left(01 * 01^{*}\right)^{*}$

13. There is more than one answer to these types of questions.
a) $(a c+a b c+a b b c)$ and $a(+b(c+b c))$ are two other regular expressions for this language.
b) $b^{*}\left((a a) * b^{*}\right) *$
c) $(0+1+2+3+4+5+6+7+8+9)(0+1+2+3+4+5+6+7+8+9)$. $(0+1+2+3+4+5+6+7+8+9)$
d) $0 *(10 * 10 *) *$
e) the set of all strings of a's and b's (including the empty string)
f) the set of all strings of a's and b's containing an even number of b's.
g) C
14. Note that answer (a) is a non-deterministic FSA (can you convert it to a deterministic FSA?) and answer (b) is a deterministic one. In these two pictures, I'm using a slightly cleaner notation that omits the rejected edges coming out of each state into a dead sink state.


15.
a) This grammar describes the language of all strings that have a 2 surrounded by 0 or more pairs of 0 's and/ or 1's. (The strings are a subset of odd-length palindromes.)

b)

* : anything
- : do nothing
c) ?
d) All strings of 0 's and 1 's that contain the substring 1001
e) Yes. That language is regular. In question 4, part b, we gave the corresponding regular expression.

16. 
```
<Letter> -> A | B | ... | Z | a | b | ... | z |
<Digit> -> 0 | 1 | ... | 9
<ldentifier> -> <ldentifier><Letter>
<ldentifier> -> <ldentifier><Digit>
<ldentifier> .> <Letter>
```

17. 

a) No. To create such an FSA, you would need to be able to count how many left-hand parentheses you were reading in order to match them up with right-hand parentheses. With only a finite number of states, you can't keep track of how many left-hand parentheses you've read, so you won't be able to create an FSA that determines whether parentheses in an expression are balanced.
b) Yes. Here's a simple PDA that does this. If there are more right-hand parentheses than left at any time, the machine will crash ( $\mathrm{b} / \mathrm{c}$ it will attempt to 'pop' from an empty stack.) If there are more left-hand parentheses than right at the end, the stack will not be empty, and the string will be rejected from the language. A transition could also be added to allow other characters to be read \& ignored.

c) Yes. Review Sedgewick section 4.2 for the stack implementation. This function takes a string as its input \& outputs 1 if the string contains a balanced parentheses expression, 0 otherwise. The operations empty(), pop(), and push() execute on an initially empty stack of characters.

```
int balanced (char * exp)
{
    int i = 0;
    char c = exp[i];
    while (c != '\0')
    {
            if (c == '(')
                    push(c);
            if (c == ')')
            {
            if (empty())
                return 0;
            pop();
            }
            i ++;
            c = exp[i];
    }
    if (empty()) return 1;
    return 0;
}
```

18. 
19. Construct a non-deterministic Turing machine that solves the WIZBAN problem.
20. Turn this Turing machine and the instance of the WIZBAN problem into a number of logical clauses, so that the resulting set of clauses has a satisfying assignment if and only if the WIZBAN problem was a yes instance. This can be done in polynomial time.
21. Apply the alien algorithm which is able to detect yes instances of SAT in polynomial time. We have now solved the WIZBAN problem in polynomial time.
22. 

a) The string str is not long enough to hold the string "this is a test".
b)

```
#include <string.h>
#include <stdio.h>
void main(int argc, char *argv[])
{
    int i, j
    for (i = argc . 1; i > 0; i.-)
    {
        s = argv[i];
            for (j = strlen(s) - 1; j >= 0; j..)
                printf("%c", s[j]);
            printf(" ");
        }
        printf("\n");
}
```

c) See the function given in question \#8 as a starting point.

```
void squeeze2 (char s1[], char s2[])
{
    int i, j, k;
    for (i = j = 0; sl[i] != '\0'; i ++)
    {
        for (k = 0; s2[k] != '\0'; k++)
        if (s2[k] == s1[i])
                break;
            if (s2[k] == '\0')
                sl[j++] = s1[i];
            }
        s1[new_sl_pos] = '\0';
    }
```

d)

```
int squeeze3 (char sl[], char s2[])
{
    int i, k;
    for (i = 0; sl[i] != '\0'; i+t)
    {
        for (k = 0; s2[k] != '\0'; k+t)
                if (s2[k] == s1[i])
                                    return i:
            }
            return - 1;
}
```

20. If you have trouble figuring out what a function does just by reading the code, try tracing through it with a small sample array. For example, try a: 4, 2, 6, 1, 7
a) The function bs sorts the array of integers in increasing order
b) The function is executed (asize -1 ) $+($ asize -2$)+\ldots+3+2+1$, which is equal to (asize)*(asize-1)/2
c) $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$
d)
```
void swap (int * x, int * y)
{
    int t = *x;
    *x = *y;
    *y = t;
}
```

21. Lines (1) - (3) each time one time unit. For line (4), the test takes one unit, and it is executed $n$ times. Lines (5) and (6) each take one unit and they are executed $n$ times. Line (7) takes one unit. Thus, the total time taken by the program is $3 n+4$ units.
22. 

a) With 5 elements in the array, selection sort makes 4 iterations with the loop index $i=0,1,2,3$. The first iteration makes 4 comparisons, the second 3 , the third 2 , the fourth 1 , for a total of 10 comparisons. With the array $6,8,14,17,23$, there are no swaps (exchanges of elements in any iteration
b) On the array $17,23,14,6,8$, selection sort makes 4 iterations. The numbers of comparisons and swaps made during each iteration are summarized in the following table.

| ITERATION | ARRAY AFTER ITERATION | NO OF COMPARISONS | NO OF SWAPS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start | $17,23,14,6,8$ | - | - |
| 1 | $6,23,14,17,8$ | 4 | 1 |
| 2 | $6,8,14,17,23$ | 3 | 1 |
| 3 | $6,8,14,17,23$ | 2 | 0 |
| 4 | $6,8,14,17,23$ | 1 | 0 |

c) Again, 4 iterations will be made. The numbers of comparisons and swaps made during each iteration are summarized in the following table.

| ITERATION | ARRAY AFTER ITERATION | NO OF COMPARISONS | NO OF SWAPS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start | $23,17,14,8,6$ | - | - |
| 1 | $6,17,14,8,23$ | 4 | 1 |
| 2 | $6,8,14,17,23$ | 3 | 1 |
| 3 | $6,8,14,17,23$ | 2 | 0 |
| 4 | $6,8,14,17,23$ | 1 | 0 |

## 23. See Sedgewick section 6.3

a)

```
void sort (int a[], int asize)
{
    int i, j, v;
    for (i = asize. 1; i > 0; i..)
            if (a[i-1] > a[i])
                swap(a[i-1], a[i]);
    for (i = 2; i < isize; i ++)
            j = i;
            v = a[i]
            while (v < a[j-1])
            {
                a[j] = a[j-1];
                j--;
            }
            a[j] = v;
    }
}
```

b) The best case number of comparisons in this efficient version of the insert sort algorithm is (asize-1) comparisons in the first for loop and (asize-2) comparisons in the second for loop. The best case order is already-sorted.

The worst case number of comparisons is (asize - 1) comparisons in the first for loop and $[($ asize -2$)+($ asize -3$)+\ldots+2+1]$ comparisons in the second $f$ or loop. The total number of comparisons is (asize)*(asize -1) / 2 . The worst case order is an array with all items initially in reversed order.
c) first for loop:

| 8 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 9 |
| 8 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 9 |
| 8 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 9 |
| 8 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 9 |
| 8 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 9 |
| 0 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 9 |

second for loop:

```
0 8 6 7 5 3 9 (initially)
    0 6 8 7 5 3 9
    0 6 7 8 5 3 9
    0 5 6 7 8 3 9
    0 3 5 6 7 8 9
    035678 9(final)
```

24. 

a) best case: ( $2 \mathrm{~N} \ln \mathrm{~N}$ ) comparisons
assuming that the last element is used as the pivot, here's an example of a best case order for an array with seven items: 1326574
worst case: about ( $N^{2} / 2$ ) comparisons
a worst case order is all elements in already sorted or reverse order.
b) no, see Sedgewick section 7.2 for a discussion of the performance characteristics of quick sort.
25.

b) BBEEEIILLLOSTTUVY
c) BBEEEIILLLOSTTUVY
d) $15+14+13+\ldots+2+1$
total: 15*16/2 $=120$ comparisons
e)

```
int sum(link t)
{
    if (t == NULL) return 0;
    return t->value + sum(t->left) + sum(t->right);
    }
```

f) The easiest way to do this is to write a 'helper' function which computes the maximum of two integer values.

```
int max( int a, int b)
{
        if (a > b) return a;
        return b;
}
int depth (link t)
{
    if (t == NULL) return 0;
    return 1 + max( depth(t->left), depth(t->right));
}
```

26. 


b)

```
void inorder_print (link t)
{
    if (t == NULL) return;
    if (t->| != NULL) printf("(");
    inorder print(t->1);
    printf("%c", t->token);
    inorder_print(t->r);
    if (t->r != NULL) printf(")");
    }
```

c) A trick is used here to convert from a character to its corresponding integer value.
(See Kernighan \& Ritchie, section 1.6)

```
int calculate (link t)
{
    if (t.>l == NULL) || if node is a leaf node,
        return (t->token - '0'); || return integer value
    if(t->token == ' t') return calculate(t->l) + calculate(t->r);
    if(t->token == '-') return calculate(t->l) . calculate(t->r);
    if(t->token == '*') return calculate(t->l) * calculate(t->r);
    if(t->token == '/') return calculate(t->l) | calculate(t->r);
    return 0; || should never happen
}
```

27. 

a) reverse polish notation: $\mathrm{x} 1+\mathrm{xy}$ - $4+$ * abstract syntax tree:


TOY code:
00: 0001
01: 0002
1A: 9100
1B: B201
1C: 1112
1D: 9200
1E: 9301
1F: 2223
20: B304
21: 1223
22: 3112
23: 4102
24: 0000
R1 <- M[R0 0 ]
R2 <- 1
$R 1<-R 1+R 2$
R2 <- M[RO 0 ]
R3 <- M[ $R 0+1]$
R2 <- R2 - R3
R3 <- 4
R2 <- R2 + R3
R1 <- R1 * R2
print R1
hal t
1 A
b) reverse polish notation: $98 * 76 *+5+$ abstract syntax tree:


TOY code:

| 1A: B109 | R1 $<-9$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1B: B208 | R2 $<-8$ |
| 1C: 3112 | R1 $<-$ R1 * R2 |
| 1D: B207 | R2 $<-7$ |
| 1E: B306 | R3 $<-6$ |
| 1F: 3223 | R2 $<-$ R2 * R3 |
| 20: B305 | R3 $<-5$ |
| 21: 1223 | R2 $<-$ R2 + R3 |
| 22: 1112 | R1 $<-$ R1 + R2 |
| 23: 4102 | print R1 |
| 24: 0000 | halt |
| 1A |  |

c) reverse polish notation: $123 *+456+*$ abstract syntax tree:


TOY code:

1A: B101
1B: B202
1C: B303
1D: 3223
1E: B304
1F: B405
20: B506
21: 1445
22: 3334
23: 2223
24: 1112
25: 4102
26: 0000
1 A

R1 <- 1
R2 <- 2
R3 <- 3
R2 <- R2 * R3
R3 <- 4
R4 <- 5
R5 <- 6
R4 <- R4 + R5
R3 <- R3 * R4
R2 <- R2 - R3
R1 <- R1 + R2
print R1
hal t
28.

```
int getToken (FILE *in, char s[])
{
    int pos = 0;
    char c;
    |* eat up all I eading whitespace */
    while ((c = getc(in)) != EOF)
        if (isspace(c) == 0)
                break;
/* single-character operators */
if ((c=='(') || (c==''') || (c==' +') || (c=='-') || (c=='*') || (c=='|'))
{
    s[pos++] = c;
    s[pos] = '\0';
    return 2;
}
|* integer constants */
if (isdigit(c))
{
    s[pos++] = c;
    while ((c = getc(in)) != EOF)
    {
        if (isdigit(c))
                s[pos++] = c;
            else
                break;
    }
    ungetc(c, in);
    s[pos] = '\0';
    return 1;
}
|* identifiers */
if (isalpha(c))
{
    s[pos++] = c;
    while ((c = getc(in)) != EOF)
    {
            if ((isdigit(c)) || (isalpha(c)))
                s[pos++] = c;
            else
                    break;
    }
    ungetc(c,in);
    s[pos] = '\0';
    return 0;
}
|* unrecognized token */
return - 1;
}
```

29. 

a) It saves the execution context (registers and PC) of the currently running program and restores the the execution context of some other program which TOS saved earlier. Then execution control is transferred to this other program.
b) TOY instructions use physical memory addresses, so unwanted sharing of the same memory data can occur among multiple instances of the same program, resulting in interference of each other's operation.
c) Virtual memory. Instructions that access memory use virtual memory addresses that must be translated to physical memory addresses via a translation table. Multiple instances of the same program have different translations for the same virtual addresses, avoiding unwanted sharing.
30.
a) CPU utilization:

| process: | 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| time: | 0 | 16 | 19 | 26 | 106 |

$$
\operatorname{avg}=(0+16+19+26+106) / 5=33.4
$$

b) CPU utilization:

| process: | 2 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| time: | 0 | 3 | 10 | 21 | 37 |

$$
\operatorname{avg}=(0+3+10+21+37) / 5=14.2
$$

c) CPU utilization:

| process: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| time: | 0 | 10 | 13 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 46 | 56 | 57 |

wait times: $\mathrm{P} 1=0+30=30$
$P 2=10$
P3 $=13$
$P 4=20+16+1=37$
P5 $=30+16=46$
$\operatorname{avg}=(30+10+13+37+46) / 5=27.2$
d) CPU utilization:

| process: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| time: | 0 | 8 | 11 | 18 | 26 | 34 | 42 | 50 | 53 |

wait times: $\mathrm{P} 1=0+26=26$
P2 $=8$
P3 $=11$
$P 4=18+16+3=37$
P5 $=26+16=42$
$\operatorname{avg}=(26+8+11+37+42) / 5=24.8$

- The shortest job first strategy gives the best results. This strategy is always optimal. However, in general we can't 'predict the future.' That is, the operating system doesn't usually know how long a process will take until after it's finished. First-come-first-serve is the strategy that gave the least efficient average wait time. The cause of the inefficiency in this example was the size of process 4. Process 5 had to wait much longer than any other process for access to the CPU. In general, processes are of vastly different sizes, so any process scheduling strategy needs to take this into account. The advantages of the first-come-first-serve strategy are that it's simple to implement and it's fair: processes are granted access to the CPU in the order in which they request it. The round-robin strategy is a good compromise between first-come-first-serve and shortest job first. It limits the amount of time any process will have to wait for the CPU. The shorter the time quantum, the shorter the wait will be for initial access. However, if the time quantum is too small, too much time will be wasted by the CPU in switching between different processes.

31. 

a) FIFO:

| in memory | page request | page fault? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | yes |
| 0 | 1 | yes |
| 0,1 | 2 | yes |
| 0,1,2 | 3 | yes |
| 1,2,3 | 0 | yes |
| 2,3,0 | 1 | yes |
| 3, 0, 1 | 4 | yes |
| 0,1,4 | 0 | no |
| 0,1,4 | 1 | no |
| 0, 1, 4 | 2 | yes |
| 1, 4, 2 | 3 | yes |
| 4,2,3 | 4 | no |
| at end: pages 4,2,3 |  |  |

LRU:

| in memory | page request | page fault? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| . | 0 | yes |
| 0 | 1 | yes |
| 0,1 | 2 | yes |
| 0,1,2 | 3 | yes |
| 1, 2, 3 | 0 | yes |
| 2,3,0 | 1 | yes |
| 3, 0, 1 | 4 | yes |
| 0,1,4 | 0 | no |
| 1, 4, 0 | 1 | no |
| 4, 0, 1 | 2 | yes |
| 0,1,2 | 3 | yes |
| 1, 2, 3 | 4 | yes |
| at end: pages 2,3,4 |  |  |

b) FIFO:

| in memory | page request | page fault? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | yes |
| 0 | 1 | yes |
| 0,1 | 2 | yes |
| 0,1, 2 | 3 | yes |
| 0,1,2,3 | 0 | no |
| 0,1,2,3 | 1 | no |
| 0,1,2,3 | 4 | yes |
| 1, 2, 3, 4 | 0 | yes |
| 2, 3, 4, 0 | 1 | yes |
| 3, 4, 0, 1 | 2 | yes |
| 4, 0, 1, 2 | 3 | yes |
| 0,1,2,3 | 4 | yes |

at end: pages 1,2,3,4

LRU:

| in memory | page request | page fault? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 0 | yes |
| 0 | 1 | yes |
| 0,1 | 2 | yes |
| 0,1,2 | 3 | yes |
| 0,1,2,3 | 0 | no |
| 1, 2, 3, 0 | 1 | no |
| 2, 3, 0, 1 | 4 | yes |
| 3, 0, 1, 4 | 0 | no |
| 3, 1, 4, 0 | 1 | no |
| 3, 4, 0, 1 | 2 | yes |
| 4, 0, 1, 2 | 3 | yes |
| 0,1,2,3 | 4 | yes |
| at end: pages 1,2,3,4 |  |  |

c) When there was room for just 3 pages, FIFO worked slightly better. However, when the number of pages in memory was increased to 4, LRU performed better than FIFO. FIFO would be easier to implement ( with just a simple queue). An implementation of LRU involves a data structure in which you can extract an element from the middle of the list and insert it on the end efficiently, to maintain the 'recent-use' order. There is no 'right' answer. Rather, as an operating systems designer, you need to be aware of the relative trade-offs among page replacemnt policies.
32. J ava questions
a) When a variable is of object type (that is, declared with a class as its type rather than one of J ava's primitive types), the value stored in the variable is not an object. Objects exists in a part of memory called the heap, and the variable holds a pointer or reference to the object. Null is a special value that can be stored in a variable to indicate that it does not actually point to any object.
b) A constructor is a kind of subroutine that is called when the new operator is used to create a new object. Its purpose is to perform any necessary initialization of the object -- and to perform any other actions that the programmer wants to have happen automatically when an object is created.?
c) A class is used as a template for making objects. That is, a class contains information about what sort of data an object should contain and what sort of behaviors an object should have. One class can be used to make many objects. All the objects have the same behavior, as specified by the class, and they all hold the same kind of instance variables (although the data stored in those instance variables can be different from one object to another).

Classes and objects are totally different sorts of things! Objects do not exist until they are created, after a program is running, and they are destroyed before the program ends. A class is actually part of the program, so of course it exists the whole time a program is running. If you have a class, you can create a subclass based on that class, but you can't do anything similar with an object.
d) An instance variable is part of an object. An object can contain data, and that data is stored in instance variables. The instance variables of an object are determined by the class of that object, but the actual variables belong to individual objects, not to the class. Each object of the class has its own set of instance variables.
e) If a variable or method is declared to be static, than that variable or method really belongs to the class as a whole, rather than to any of the objects that might be created from that class. This means, for example, that the variable or method is shared by all such objects and that there is only one copy of the variable or method that exists the whole time a program is running.
f) Math.sqrt is a static method. Math is the name of the class in which the sqrt method is defined. sqrt is the name of the method itself. "Math.sqrt" is the name for the method when it is called from outside the Math class.
g) In object oriented programming, one class can inherit all the properties and behaviors from another class. It can then add to and modify what it inherits. The class that inherits is called a subclass, and the class that it inherits from is said to be its superclass. In J ava, the fact that Cl ass A is a subclass of Cl ass B is indicated in the definition of Cl ass A as follows:
class Cl assA extends Cl ass $\mathrm{B}\{. .$.
h) Applets requuire a Web browser or a tool such as the applet viewer to provide an execution environment. Applications are directly interpreted by a J ava interpreter.
i) Bytecodes are a platform-independent representation of a program. They are generated by the J ava compiler.
j) The purpose of garbage collection is to identify objects that can no longer be used, and to dispose of such objects and reclaim the memory space that they occupy. If garbage collection is not used, then programmer must be responsible for keeping track of which objects are still in use and disposing of objects when they are no longer needed. If the programmer makes a mistake, then there is a "memory leak," which might gradually fill up memory with useless objects until the program crashes for lack of memory.
33.
a) Here is a possible answer. (Note that the initialization of the instance variable, value, to zero is not really necessary, since it would be initialized to zero anyway if no explicit initialization were provided.)

```
public class Counter {
    |/An object of this class represents a counter that counts up from zero.
    private int value = 0; || current value of the counter
    public void increment() { ll add one to the value of the counter
            value++;
    }
    public int getValue() { |/ get the current value of the counter
            return value;
    }
} ll end of class Counter
```

b) The variable headCount is a variable of type Counter, so the only thing that you can do with it is call the instance methods headCount.increment() and headCount.getValue(). Similarly for tailCount. Here is the program with calls to these instance methods filled in:

```
Counter headCount = new Counter();
Counter tailCount = new Counter();
for (int flip = 0; flip < 100; flip+t) {
    if (Math.random() < 0.5)
            headCount.increment(); | count a "head"
    else
        tailCount.increment(); || count a "tail"
}
System.out.print|n("There were " + headCount.get Value() + " heads.");
System.out.printIn ("There were " + tailCount.get Value() + " tails.");
```

c) Note that the data for employee number i is stored in emp[i]. In particular, the hourly wage for employee i is emp[i].hourlyWage. The number of employees with hourly wage greater than $\$ 25.00$ can be determined as follows:

```
int count = 0;
for (int i = 0; i < 1000; i ++) {
    if (emp[i].hourlyWage > 25)
    count++;
}
```

d)

```
public class BankAccount {
                private double balance; /| amount of money in the account
                public BankAccount(double initialDeposit) { || constructor
                    balance = initial Deposit;
        }
            public void deposit(double amount) {
                balance = balance + amount;
            }
            public void withdraw(double amount) {
                        balance = balance - amount;
            }
            public double checkBalance() {
                        return balance;
            }
                }
```

e) $i=3 ; s=$ New string; $d=6.02 \mathrm{E} 23$
$i=3 ; s=$ New string; $d=6.02$ E23
34.
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